	,	uz February 2024					Gross	Risk	Risk Current Risk				ıal Risk	k
	sk Risk Title	Opp / Threat	Risk Description	Risk Cause	Consequence	Date Raised	Р		Р		Score	Р	1	Risk Mitigation
SE	Robustness of Counc decision making	Threat	Decisions are taken by the Council without properly taking into account all relevant socio-economic factors	A decision is taken that overwrites statutory responsibilities. Decision-makers do not have the expertise and data to make an informed decision. Adding in a requirement to take socio-economic factors into account each time a decision is made increases the burden on the decision-maker	Indecision or inappropriate decision making impacting on service delivery. Judicial Review. Council liability for paying compensation.	02.02.24	3	5	3	3	9	2	5	Robust mechanism in place for making sure that all decisions are made in the context of the relevant socio-economic factors. The Council's strategic approach to policy already has significant regard to promoting inclusion and tackling economic inequalities; and because the Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) process already in place for policymaking provides an appropriate mechanisr for SED implementation. Sufficient resources need to be available to achieve a light touch implementation. Detailed implementation and action plan developed.
SE	22 Change of government policy	t Threat	New or changed legislation may invalidate assumptions upon which the activity is based.		Indecision or inappropriate decision making impacting on service delivery. Risk of challenge to decision making.	02.02.24	3	2	3	2	6	3	2	Robust mechanism in place for making sure that all decisions are made in the context of the relevant socio-economic factors. The Council's strategic approach to policy already has significant regard to promoting inclusion and tackling economic inequalities; and because the Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) process already in place for policymaking provides an appropriate mechanism for SED implementation. Sufficient resources need to be available to achieve a light touch implementation. Detailed implementation and action plan developed.
ン シ ミ	O3 Change of governmen policy	t Opportunity	New or changed legislation may validate assumptions upon which the activity is based	Change in legislation and/ o law. It should be noted that the Labour Party nationally has stated it intends to implement the SED across English public services if it forms the next Government.	The Council could be advanced in its work/ progress for legislative implementation of socio-economic duty.	02.02.24	3	2	3	2	6	3	2	The Council's strategic approach to policy already has significant regard to promoting inclusion and tackling economic inequalities; and because the Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) process already in place for policymaking provides an appropriate mechanism for SED implementation.
	04 Inadequate adoption of operational practices		Officers view implementation of socio- economic decision making as a 'tick' box' exercise.	an informed decision. Adding in a requirement to take socio-economic factors into account increases the burden on the officer.	Delay in decision making and/ or no decision made impacting service decision.	02.02.24	2	3	2	3	6	2	2	Robust mechanism in place for making sure that all decisions are made in the context of the relevant socio-economic factors. The Council's strategic approach to policy already has significant regard to promoting inclusion and tackling economic inequalities; and because the Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) process already in place for policymaking provides an appropriate mechanism for SED implementation. Sufficient resources available to achieve a light touch implementation. Detailed implementation and action plan developed.
SE	98 Judicial Review	Threat	Potential risk of judicial review	A decision is taken that overwrites statutory responsibilities. If the Council is not taking all relevant factors into account nor making "reasonable" decisions. This risk arises if decisions are taken by the Council without properly taking into account all relevant socio-economic factors.	Delay in decision making and/ or no decision made impacting service decision. Council liability for paying compensation. Negative reputation/ media publicity.	02.02.24	3	5	3	3	9	2	5	The Council's strategic approach to policy already has significant regard to promoting inclusion and tackling economic inequalities; and because the Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) process already in place for policymaking provides an appropriate mechanism for SED implementation

SED!	Council decision to	Threat	Adverse public opinion		Delay in decision making	02.02.24	1	5	1	4	4	1	4	Robust mechanism in place for making sure that all decisions are made in the context of the relevant socio-economic factors.
	adopt the socio-			A decision is taken that	and/ or no decision made									
	economic duty is			overwrites statutory	impacting service decision.									Sufficient resources available to achieve a light touch implementation.
	challenged			responsibilities.										
					Judicial review.									The Council's strategic approach to policy already has significant regard to promoting inclusion and tackling economic inequalities;
				If the Council is not taking										and because the Equalities Impact Assessment (EqIA) process already in place for policymaking provides an appropriate mechanisr
				all relevant factors into	Negative reputation/ media									for SED implementation this.
				account nor making	publicity.									
				"reasonable" decisions.										Detailed implementation and action plan developed.
				Decisions are taken by the										
				Council without properly										
				taking into account all										
				relevant socio-economic										
				factors.										